

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/673,787	09/30/2003	Ann Elizabeth Payne	3007	
75	90 01/06/2006		EXAMINER	
Ann Payne			ALEXANDER, REGINALD	
c/o Thomas G. Scavone Suite 4600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
181 West Madison Street			1761	
Chicago, IL 6	0602		DATE MAILED: 01/06/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/673,787	PAYNE, ANN EL	LIZABETH			
		Examiner	Art Unit				
•		Reginald L. Alexander	1761				
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communication r Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exten after 5 - If NO - Failur Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE HEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFISIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by staply received by the Office later than three months after the model of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC atute, cause the application to become A	ICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[2]	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	1 October 2005					
,—	<u> </u>	This action is non-final.					
/	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
,	closed in accordance with the practice und	•	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-5 is/are pending in the application	on.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction ar	nd/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)🛛 -	The specification is objected to by the Exan	niner.					
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)⊡ objected to	by the Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).				
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawin	g(s) is objected to. See 37 (CFR 1.121(d).			
11) 🗌 .	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner. Note the attache	ed Office Action or form P	PTO-152.			
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore ☐ Allb)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	1. Certified copies of the priority docum						
	2. Certified copies of the priority docum		• •				
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the	·	n received in this Nationa	al Stage			
	application from the International Bu		a construid				
* S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a	list of the certified copies no	t received.				
Attachment	(s)						
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)				
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SE	· —	o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application (P1	ГО-152)			
	· No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		•			

Art Unit: 1761

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout for the specification of a utility application. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

Arrangement of the Specification

As provided in 37 CFR 1.77(b), the specification of a utility application should include the following sections in order. Each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as a section heading. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) TITLE OF THE INVENTION.
- (b) CROSS-REFERENCE TO RELATED APPLICATIONS.
- (c) STATEMENT REGARDING FEDERALLY SPONSORED RESEARCH OR DEVELOPMENT.
- (d) THE NAMES OF THE PARTIES TO A JOINT RESEARCH AGREEMENT
- (e) INCORPORATION-BY-REFERENCE OF MATERIAL SUBMITTED ON A COMPACT DISC (See 37 CFR 1.52(e)(5) and MPEP 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text are permitted to be submitted on compact discs.) or
 - REFERENCE TO A "MICROFICHE APPENDIX" (See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.)
- (f) BACKGROUND OF THE INVENTION.
 - (1) Field of the Invention.
 - (2) Description of Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (g) BRIEF SUMMARY OF THE INVENTION.
- (h) BRIEF DESCRIPTION OF THE SEVERAL VIEWS OF THE DRAWING(S).
- (i) DETAILED DESCRIPTION OF THE INVENTION.
- (i) CLAIM OR CLAIMS (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) ABSTRACT OF THE DISCLOSURE (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (I) SEQUENCE LISTING (See MPEP § 2424 and 37 CFR 1.821-1.825. A "Sequence Listing" is required on paper if the application discloses a nucleotide or amino acid sequence as defined in 37 CFR 1.821(a) and if the required "Sequence Listing" is not submitted as an electronic document on compact disc).

Content of Specification

Application/Control Number: 10/673,787 Page 3

Art Unit: 1761

(a) <u>Title of the Invention</u>: See 37 CFR 1.72(a) and MPEP § 606. The title of the invention should be placed at the top of the first page of the specification unless the title is provided in an application data sheet. The title of the invention should be brief but technically accurate and descriptive, preferably from two to seven words may not contain more than 500 characters.

- (b) <u>Cross-References to Related Applications</u>: See 37 CFR 1.78 and MPEP § 201.11.
- (c) <u>Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research and Development:</u> See MPEP § 310.
- (d) <u>The Names Of The Parties To A Joint Research Agreement</u>: See 37 CFR 1.71(g).
- (e) Incorporation-By-Reference Of Material Submitted On a Compact Disc:
 The specification is required to include an incorporation-by-reference of electronic documents that are to become part of the permanent United States Patent and Trademark Office records in the file of a patent application. See 37 CFR 1.52(e) and MPEP § 608.05. Computer program listings (37 CFR 1.96(c)), "Sequence Listings" (37 CFR 1.821(c)), and tables having more than 50 pages of text were permitted as electronic documents on compact discs beginning on September 8, 2000.

Or alternatively, Reference to a "Microfiche Appendix": See MPEP § 608.05(a). "Microfiche Appendices" were accepted by the Office until March 1, 2001.

- (f) <u>Background of the Invention</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(c). The specification should set forth the Background of the Invention in two parts:
 - (1) Field of the Invention: A statement of the field of art to which the invention pertains. This statement may include a paraphrasing of the applicable U.S. patent classification definitions of the subject matter of the claimed invention. This item may also be titled "Technical Field."
 - (2) Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 37 CFR 1.98: A description of the related art known to the applicant and including, if applicable, references to specific related art and problems involved in the prior art which are solved by the applicant's invention. This item may also be titled "Background Art."

Application/Control Number: 10/673,787 Page 4

Art Unit: 1761

g) Brief Summary of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(d). A brief summary or general statement of the invention as set forth in 37 CFR 1.73. The summary is separate and distinct from the abstract and is directed toward the invention rather than the disclosure as a whole. The summary may point out the advantages of the invention or how it solves problems previously existent in the prior art (and preferably indicated in the Background of the Invention). In chemical cases it should point out in general terms the utility of the invention. If possible, the nature and gist of the invention or the inventive concept should be set forth. Objects of the invention should be treated briefly and only to the extent that they contribute to an understanding of the invention.

- (h) <u>Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s)</u>: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A reference to and brief description of the drawing(s) as set forth in 37 CFR 1.74.
- (i) Detailed Description of the Invention: See MPEP § 608.01(g). A description of the preferred embodiment(s) of the invention as required in 37 CFR 1.71. The description should be as short and specific as is necessary to describe the invention adequately and accurately. Where elements or groups of elements, compounds, and processes, which are conventional and generally widely known in the field of the invention described and their exact nature or type is not necessary for an understanding and use of the invention by a person skilled in the art, they should not be described in detail. However, where particularly complicated subject matter is involved or where the elements, compounds, or processes may not be commonly or widely known in the field, the specification should refer to another patent or readily available publication which adequately describes the subject matter.
- (j) Claim or Claims: See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(m). The claim or claims must commence on separate sheet or electronic page (37 CFR 1.52(b)(3)). Where a claim sets forth a plurality of elements or steps, each element or step of the claim should be separated by a line indentation. There may be plural indentations to further segregate subcombinations or related steps. See 37 CFR 1.75 and MPEP § 608.01(i)-(p).
- (k) Abstract of the Disclosure: See MPEP § 608.01(f). A brief narrative of the disclosure as a whole in a single paragraph of 150 words or less commencing on a separate sheet following the claims. In an international application which has entered the national stage (37 CFR 1.491(b)), the applicant need not submit an abstract commencing on a separate sheet if an abstract was published with the international application under PCT Article 21. The abstract that appears on the cover page of the pamphlet

Art Unit: 1761

published by the International Bureau (IB) of the World Intellectual Property Organization (WIPO) is the abstract that will be used by the USPTO. See MPEP § 1893.03(e).

(I) <u>Sequence Listing</u>, See 37 CFR 1.821-1.825 and MPEP §§ 2421-2431. The requirement for a sequence listing applies to all sequences disclosed in a given application, whether the sequences are claimed or not. See MPEP § 2421.02.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-5 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

In claim 1, applicant has provided several sentences, as opposed to a single sentence, which recite different elements of the invention. Some of the elements are repeatedly recited in each of the sentences. The format used makes the claim confusing. For example, it is unclear if there are several blades or just one blade provided. Elements such as the "adjustment valve" which are merely inferentially claimed, should be positively recited along with its structural relationship in regards to the other elements.

In claim 2, applicant again has provided several sentences as opposed to the required one sentence form. In claim 2 there is recited a dependency upon claim 1, such dependency is recited twice. This is improper. In claim 2, it is unclear if the recited "steam generator", "water reservoir" and "containers" are separate elements from the cooking surface or burner, vertical portion and container recited in claim 1 which perform the same functions.

In claim 3, there is no antecedent basis for the "processing tool". Claim 3 is presented in multiple sentence form. Claim 3 fails to further limit the device of claim 1.

In claim 4, applicant has again provided several sentences. It is unclear if claim 4 is dependent upon claim 1 or claim 2. Both claims are recited within the body of claim 4. In claim 4, there is no antecedent basis for the "rotating steam nozzle". It is unclear in claim 4 how the vertical portion extends and retracts. There is recited no structure for performing the extension or retraction. In claim 4, there is no antecedent basis for the "containers". In claim 4, elements such as the "steam control system", "analog or digital controls" and "microprocessor" are merely inferentially claimed and should be positively recited.

In claim 5, applicant has provided several sentences. Claim 5 appears in independent form. There is no antecedent basis for the "drive shaft", "steam passage", "base portion" or "container".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 1761

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kemker et al.

There is disclosed in Kemker a food processing device which makes use of a mixer and steaming function, the device comprising: a base portion 2; a container 22 on the base; a vertical portion 6 extending up from the base and containing water for the generation of steam; a cooking surface 61 for heating the water; and a blade 10.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2, 4 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kemker et al. in view of Cai.

Cai discloses a steaming device having a vertical portion 14 for holding a liquid for forming steam, a steam generator, a steam nozzle 59, 60 having steam passages 63.

It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to substitute the steam generating and delivery means of Kemker with that disclosed in Cai, in order to provide an alternative way to deliver the steam to the container.

Response to Arguments

Art Unit: 1761

Applicant should submit an argument under the heading "Remarks" pointing out disagreements with the examiner's contentions. Applicant must also discuss the references applied against the claims, explaining how the claims avoid the references or distinguish from them.

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The patent to Sharples is cited for its disclosure of the state of the art.

An examination of this application reveals that applicant is unfamiliar with patent prosecution procedure. While an inventor may prosecute the application, lack of skill in this field usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for the invention disclosed. Applicant is advised to secure the services of a registered patent attorney or

Art Unit: 1761

agent to prosecute the application, since the value of a patent is largely dependent upon skilled preparation and prosecution. The Office cannot aid in selecting an attorney or agent.

A listing of registered patent attorneys and agents is available on the USPTO Internet web site http://www.uspto.gov in the Site Index under "Attorney and Agent Roster." Applicants may also obtain a list of registered patent attorneys and agents located in their area by writing to the Mail Stop OED, Director of the U. S. Patent and Trademark Office, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Reginald L. Alexander whose telephone number is 571-272-1395. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 10

Application/Control Number: 10/673,787

Art Unit: 1761

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Reginald L. Alexander

Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1761

rla January 3, 2006